	Case 2:22-cv-01954-TLN-CKD Documer	nt 17 Filed 03/27/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARY ALICE NELSON ROGERS,	No. 2:22-cv-01954 TLN CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JP MORGAN CHASE, N.A., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and has paid the filing fee. On March 15,	
18	2023, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure	
19	to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (ECF No. 13.) On March 20, 2023, plaintiff	
20	filed a response, highlighting the difficulties faced by pro se plaintiffs and asserting in conclusory	
21	terms that defendant JP Morgan Chase violated her constitutional rights. (ECF No. 16.) While	
22	the court is sympathetic to the challenges faced by pro se plaintiffs, the fact remains that plaintiff	
23	has filed several frivolous lawsuits against JP Morgan Chase, as set forth in the Order to Show	
24	Cause. Moreover, nothing in plaintiff's response nor in the First Amended Complaint suggests	
25	that plaintiff can state a claim against any defendant in this action under the federal pleading	
26	standards, such that leave to amend would be futile.	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

Case 2:22-cv-01954-TLN-CKD Document 17 Filed 03/27/23 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. The First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 7) be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim on which relief can be granted; and
- 2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: March 27, 2023

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2/nelson-rogers1954.f&rs